AMENDED Minutes of the Sevenoaks Town Council PLANNING COMMITTEE meeting held on Monday 18th March 2024 at 7:00pm at the Town Council Chambers. Livestreamed and available on You Tube until Minutes are accepted by Town Council Meeting: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=42kB8FurNfo</u>

Present:

Committee Members

Cllr Ancrum	Present	Cllr Gustard	Present
Cllr Layne	Present	Cllr Michaelides	Present
Cllr Camp – Chair	Present	Cllr O'Hara	Present
Cllr Dr Canet	Present	Cllr Shea – Mayor	Present
Cllr Clayton – Leader	Present	Cllr Skinner OBE	Apologies
Cllr Daniell	Present	Cllr Varley – Vice Chair	Present
Cllr Dr Dixon	Present	Cllr Willis	Present
Cllr Granville	Present	Cllr Wightman – Deputy Leader	Present

Also in attendance:

Town Clerk Planning Committee Clerk 3 Members of the Public

PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

A member of the public asked the following question:

Since there is a majority from all respondents to the consultation for 20 mph in every road put forward in the scheme (as set out in the agenda pack) – and an even wider majority for every road from residents in the area affected, can we be sure of getting the scheme - as put forward - done this year?

The Chair responded that the Town Council would not make the final decision on the scheme, although would be making its own recommendation under Agenda Item 6, to forward to the Joint Transportation Board and Kent County Council.

738 <u>REQUESTS FOR DISPENSATIONS</u> No requests for dispensations had been received.

739 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

a) Cllr Camp declared that although she did not have a disclosable pecuniary interest in the below plan, she would excuse herself from the room during the application's discussion. Cllr Varley as Vice Chair chaired the meeting in her absence:

• [Plan no. 11] 24/00322/MMA – Pinehurst House Nursing Home, Pinehurst

b) Cllr Shea, Cllr O'Hara and Cllr Dr Canet declared that they had both been lobbied by the applicant as well as those opposing the below plan. They stated that they both remained open minded and would vote in accordance with evidence and planning policy:

• [Plan no. 11] 24/00322/MMA – Pinehurst House Nursing Home, Pinehurst

c) Cllr Willis declared that he had a disclosable pecuniary interest in the below plan. He did not participate in its discussion or recommendation:

• [Plan no. 4] 23/03714/FUL – Sevenoaks School, Solefields Road

740 <u>MINUTES</u>

The Committee received the MINUTES of the Planning Committee Meeting held 4th March 2024.

It was **RESOLVED** that the minutes be approved.

741 <u>APPEALS</u>

a) Councillors noted that the following appeal was allowed and planning permission GRANTED by the Planning Inspector on 27th January 2024:

• APP/G2245/W/23/3328339: 23/01585/FUL – Site Formerly Known as Sevenoaks Open Air School, Oakhill Road

b) It was noted that this was one of three applications recently granted for the same site, and that planning conditions would have been put in place to prevent more than one of the approved schemes being developed.

742 20MPH PUBLIC CONSULTATION RESULTS AND TOWN COUNCIL REPORT

a) Councillors received copy of Sevenoaks Town Council's report on the results of its 2023 Public Consultation on 20mph speed limit proposals for Sevenoaks Town, as well as an Update Sheet providing additional data which had been published and circulated prior to the meeting.

b) Cllr Clayton summarised that the results revealed the following:

- That the concept of 20mph speed limits near schools and residential roads, as supported by the Sevenoaks Town Neighbourhood Plan Aim M4 had received 74% support from local residents, and 66% from all respondents including those outside the Town. This was noted as in line with the STNP Referendum results (73% in favour).
- The scheme as produced by the Joint Transportation Board was supported by 61% of local residents, and 53% of all respondents.

c) Cllr Daniell requested that STC include mention of the proposed zebra crossing on Dartford Road, which had formed part of the original 20mph proposals consulted on by KCC in 2023. Cllrs agreed that this was important to reiterate its support for this proposal, and that the Town Council has budgeted CIL monies to deliver this. It was agreed that STC make a second recommendation to the JTB and KCC for this to be progressed.

d) Cllr Shea requested that a mistype on pages 5 and 11 of the report be corrected, to read "St John's Hill", as opposed to "St John's Road" – in relation to the survey data for respondents who requested St John's Hill be removed from the proposals (see final report attached)

e) The Public Question posed at the start of the meeting was addressed, and it was noted that the JTB would decide its recommendation on the scheme on 20th March 2024, after which it would be forwarded to KCC's Portfolio Holder for a final decision – hoped to be within the following 1-2 weeks. The Town Council would then fund the scheme in its approved form as well as the zebra crossing on Dartford Road, with anticipated delivery hoped to be between September – October 2024.

f) Cllr Shea noted that some incorrect data had been provided in the JTB Agenda papers, which were addressed in Table 6 of the Town Council's report.

g) Cllr Varley reiterated the following point made on pages 4 and 11 of the Town Council's report:

STC think the pubic would be astonished to know that if they voted **Yes** and subsequently said they wanted one or more roads removed JTB would be considering manipulating their response to a **No**.

h) Cllr Shea noted the higher percentage of support for the proposed scheme from Under 18 respondents (being 68%) and complimented the 180 Under 18s who engaged in the consultation.

i) Councillors thanked the Officers for their time providing the information in the report and for the clarity of the data provided.

j) Cllrs noted that there was some concern over whether some of the roads in the proposed scheme could sustain 20mph speed limits without traffic calming, and it was agreed that the Town Council amend its recommendation as set out in the Agenda papers accordingly to account for this.

k) It was **RESOLVED** that the following recommendations be forwarded to the JTB and KCC for their consideration:

- KCC are requested to accept the public's majority response for the scheme as a whole and for all the constituent roads. STC requests KCC to move forward with the scheme as designed, subject to all roads being compliant with Department for Transport and KCC policies on compliance.
- STC recommended in addition that the proposed zebra crossing on Dartford Road which was part of the original 20mph consultation by KCC be progressed.

743 <u>DEPARTMENT FOR TRANSPORT MINISTERIAL STATEMENT IN RESPONSE TO TOWN</u> COUNCIL'S CONCERNS ON TRAIN FARE CHANGES IN SEVENOAKS

a) Councillors received copy of correspondence received from the Minister of State on the Town Council's concerns regarding increased train fares significantly impacting passengers from Sevenoaks to London.

b) Cllr Clayton further updated the Committee that the Sevenoaks Rail Travellers Association, of which he is a member, had recently been in contact with Tonbridge Rail Travellers Association to discuss the proposed traffic fare scheme. He reported that their findings showed the algorithms used to decide peak and off peak became less successful when booking long journeys, and that they had both written to their local Members of Parliament.

c) Cllr Clayton reported that the two Rail Travellers Associations had been invited to speak to representatives of the Department for Transport, and welcomed comments from Councillors to pass on.

744 <u>KENT COUNTY COUNCIL PROPOSAL TO AMEND WAITING RESTRICTIONS AT BOSVILLE</u> DRIVE

a) The Committee received and noted documents on deposit from Kent County Council for Amendment 56, a Waiting Restrictions Order on the following length of road:

• Bosville Drive – On the southeast side remove the time limited bays with shared residents parking from the boundary of 4/6 Bosville Drive for a distance of 7 metres in a south westerly direction.

b) It was noted that representations supporting or objecting to the proposed Order could be made via Kent County Council's website, and that the closing date to comment is 25th March 2024.

745 <u>KENT COUNTY COUNCIL PROPOSAL TO AMEND WAITING RESTRICTIONS AT LETTER BOX</u> LANE AND TONBRIDGE ROAD

a) The Committee received and noted documents on deposit from Kent County Council for Amendment 55, a Waiting Restrictions Order on the following length of road:

- Letter Box Lane On both sides from its junction with Tonbridge Rad (A225) for a distance of 10 metres in a south westerly direction.
- Tonbridge Road On West side of its junction with Letter Box Lane between points 10 metres north and 10 metres south.

b) It was noted that representations supporting or objecting to the proposed Order could be made via Kent County Council's website, and that the closing date to comment is 25th March 2024.

c) Cllr Gustard reported that she and Cllr Daniell had visited the site to review the plans, and concluded that the proposed yellow lines did not extend far enough into Letter Box Lane to allow cars turning into the road from Tonbridge Road to see those exiting Letter Box Lane. This was highlighted as an issue, as cars exiting Letter Box Lane need to do so via the wrong side of the road, due to parked cars.

d) Cllr Gustard and Cllr Daniell proposed that the yellow lines be extended further into Letter Box Lane, in order to allow cars enough time to re-enter the correct side of the road before exiting Letter Box Lane. They further recommended that the yellow lines be extended into Parkland Close, in order to avoid further displacement.

e) It was **RESOLVED** that STC respond to the consultation, requesting that the yellow lines be extended into Letter Box Lane on both sides of the road up to and extending into the entrance of Parkland Close.

746 CURRENT MATTERS AND COMPLETED MATTERS

a) Councillors received and noted the Current Matters report, noting the highlighted item which required action of the Planning Committee.

b) The Planning Committee Clerk summarised these as potentially requiring creation and action of a Working Group, and it was **RESOLVED** that a Working Group comprising Cllr Ancrum, Cllr Gustard, Cllr Granville and Cllr Camp be arranged to discuss and progress HIP Priority No.9.

747 <u>DECISION NOTICES ON PLANNING APPLICATIONS COMMENTED ON BY SEVENOAKS</u> <u>TOWN COUNCIL</u>

a) The Committee received and noted details within the report, which notified of decisions made by Sevenoaks District Council on applications considered by STC's Planning Committee, received during the two weeks ending 11th March 2024.

b) Cllr Michaelides noted in relation to 43B London Road, that the Town Council had amended its recommendation on the proposals, following publication of the Conservation Officer's response – on which its original recommendation hinged. The updated recommendation was in line with the final decision made by the District Council.

748 PLANNING APPLICATIONS

- (a) Prior to consideration of the following application, written representation was read aloud at request of a Member of the Public, with prior approval:
 - [Plan no. 8] 24/00275/FUL 53 Bradbourne Vale Road (Against)

(b) The Committee considered planning applications received during the two weeks ending 11th March 2024. It was RESOLVED that the comments listed on the attached schedule be forwarded to Sevenoaks District Council.

749 PRESS RELEASES

It was **RESOLVED** that a Press Release be issued announcing the Town Council's intention to pursue delivery of the previously proposed zebra crossing at Dartford Road, which formed part of KCC's original public consultation on 20mph speed limits.

There being no further business the Chair closed the meeting at 7:25pm.

Signed Chair Dated

Applications considered on 18-3-24

1	Plan Number	Planning officer	Town Councillor	Agent
	23/02996/HOUSE	Christopher Park 26/03/2024	Cllr Layne	
Арр	licant	House Name	Road	Locality
Hosse	eini		71 Hillingdon Rise	Eastern
Tow	'n	County	Post Code	Application date
				05/03/24
	2996/HOUSE - Am	ended plan ome office.		

Updated drawings received which show the correct height a consistent size.

Comment

Sevenoaks Town Council recommended approval, provided the Planning Officer is satisfied that there will be no adverse impact to neighbours.

2	Plan Number	Planning officer	Town Councillor	Agent
	23/03061/LBCALT	Christopher Park 26/03/2024	Cllr Granville	The Surveyors Partnershi
Applie	cant	House Name	Road	Locality
		Paydens Pharmacy	21-23 London Road	Town
Town	1	County	Post Code	Application date
				05/03/24

23/03061/LBCALT - REVALIDATED plan

Proposed installation of new internal security shutters to front of property at ground floor level.

A summary of the main changes are set out below:

"The application seeks planning permission for security shutters. Whilst the shutter block would be internal, the shutters when closed would cover the entire window and would be visible from outside and sunsequently impact the elevations. However, no plan which shows the design of the shutters has been provided."

Comment

Sevenoaks Town Council recommended approval, subject to:

- The Planning Officer being satisfied that all reasonable steps are being taken to reduce the impact on an important historical building

- The Conservation Officer being satisfied that when the shutters are closed, they won't detract from the listed building and will remain in keeping with the Character Area.

3	Plan Number	Planning officer	Town Councillor	Agent
	23/03149/HOUSE	Abbey Aslett 21/03/2024	Cllr Dr Canet	
Appli	cant	House Name	Road	Locality
Mr H St	tanley		190 Seal Road	Northern
Town	1	County	Post Code	Application date
				29/02/24
Single	o storov sido ovto	ncion		¥

Single storey side extension.

Comment

Sevenoaks Town Council recommended approval.

Applications considered on 18-3-24

4	Plan Number	Planning officer	Town Councillor	Agent
	23/03714/FUL	Samantha Yates 21/03/2024	Cllr Varley	
Арр	licant	House Name	Road	Locality
Mr J M	loir	Sevenoaks School	Solefields Road	Kippington
Tow	n	County	Post Code	Application date
				29/02/24
	3714/FUL - Revalio oose built metal ba	•		
	mmary of the mai line location plan	n changes are set out bel altered.	ow:	
Con	nment			

Sevenoaks Town Council recommended approval.

5	Plan Number	Planning officer	Town Councillor	Agent
	24/00095/HOUSE	Summer Aucoin 21/03/2024	Cllr Gustard	Mr Eren Munir
Appli	cant	House Name	Road	Locality
Ms J Lo	ocke		79A Weald Road	Kippington
Town	1	County	Post Code	Application date
				29/02/24
3m re	ar extension.			I

Comment

Sevenoaks Town Council recommended approval, subject to the Planning Officer being satisfied there is no loss of amenity to neighbours, and that the Tree Officer has no objections.

6	Plan Number	Planning officer	Town Councillor	Agent
	24/00224/FUL	Anna Horn 01/04/2024	Cllr Michaelides	Thomas Ford & Partners
Appli	cant	House Name	Road	Locality
S Stim	son	St Nicholas Church	Rectory Lane	Town
Towr	ו	County	Post Code	Application date
				11/03/24

Installation of solar photovoltaic panels to the existing South Aisle roof, and the installation of a new roof light and replacement of an existing roof light to the existing office roof. Installation of 55 solar panels to the existing South Aisle roof. Replacement of existing felt on North office roof with Copper and to install a new and replace an existing roof light.

Comment

Sevenoaks Town Council recommended approval, provided the Conservation Officer is satisfied that there will not be any adverse impacts on a Listed building within the Conservation Area.

Applications considered on 18-3-24

7	Plan Number	Planning officer	Town Councillor	Agent
	24/00258/HOUSE	Summer Aucoin 26/03/2024	Cllr Ancrum	Mr Tim Spencer
Арр	olicant	House Name	Road	Locality
Mrs k	K Kusnierz		24 The Crescent	Eastern
Тои	/n	County	Post Code	Application date
				05/03/24
Sing	gle storey rear exte	ension.		

onigie storey real

Comment

Proposed from the Chair on Cllr Ancrum's behalf:

Sevenoaks Town Council recommended approval.

8	Plan Number	Planning officer	Town Councillor	Agent
	24/00275/FUL	Abbey Aslett 26/03/2024	Cllr Camp	Robinson Escott Planning
Applie	cant	House Name	Road	Locality
Seveno	aks Plans & Developme		53 Bradbourne Vale Road	St Johns
Town	1	County	Post Code	Application date
				05/03/24

Demolition of existing dwelling; erection of 3no. Dwellings with amended access and all associated works.

Comment

Sevenoaks Town Council recommended approval providing that:

- There will be no overlooking or loss of amenity to neighbours on either side

- Adequate provision is to be put in place for the protection of the bats,

- Adequate provision and boundary protections are put in place where there is a level difference between the proposed development and the adjoining neighbour, in order to protect the foundations of the neighbouring property.

9	Plan Number	Planning officer	Town Councillor	Agent
	24/00305/HOUSE	Summer Aucoin 21/03/2024	Cllr Varley	Offset Architects
Appli	cant	House Name	Road	Locality
Ms Wa	sse and Mr Kostro	5 Clenches Farm	Clenches Farm Road	Kippington
Towr	ו	County	Post Code	Application date
				29/02/24

Extension of and alterations of existing semi-detached garage and change into office/storage. Addition of new brick arch linking garage and the main house. Associated landscaping.

Comment

Sevenoaks Town Council recommended approval, subject to the Conservation Officer being satisfied with the finishes.

Applications considered on 18-3-24

10	Plan Number	Planning officer	Town Councillor	Agent
	24/00306/MMA	Christopher Park 22/03/2024	Cllr Daniell	MRW Design
Appli	icant	House Name	Road	Locality
Ms Fre	eshwater		24 Redlands Road	Kippington
Towr	า	County	Post Code	Application date
				01/03/24
Amer	ndment to 22/0190	2/HOUSE.	ŀ	+

Comment

Sevenoaks Town Council recommended approval.

11	Plan Number	Planning officer	Town Councillor	Agent
	24/00322/MMA	Sean Mitchell 22/03/2024	Cllr Shea	SJM Planning Limited
Applic	cant	House Name	Road	Locality
M Felto	n	Pinehurst House Nursing Home	Pinehurst	Northern
Town		County	Post Code	Application date
				01/03/24

24/00322/MMA - Amended plan

Amendment to 20/03293/FUL

A summary of the main changes are set out below:

Additional information submitted - Statement of Community Involvement

Comment

Sevenoaks Town Council did have further comment to its previous recommendation.

12	Plan Number	Planning officer	Town Councillor	Agent
	24/00343/FUL	Stephanie Payne 22/03/2024	Cllr Gustard	OA -Residential
Applicant		House Name	Road	Locality
Mr B & Mrs Swann		Beechmont Farm	Hubbards Hill	ADJOINING Kippington
Towr	ז	County	Post Code	Application date
				01/03/24
Ronis	coment Dwelling		-	

Replacement Dwelling

Comment

Sevenoaks Town Council did not provide comment as the proposal is outside of its parish.

	Plan Number	Planning officer	Town Councillor	Agent
	24/00357/HOUSE	Christopher Park 25/03/2024	Cllr Camp	Resi Design Ltd
Applicant		House Name	Road	Locality
Mr M Smith			38 Bradbourne Road	St Johns
Town		County	Post Code	Application date
				04/03/24

Ground floor side infill with rooflight, internal alterations and all associated works.

Comment

Sevenoaks Town Council recommended approval.

Applications considered on 18-3-24

14	Plan Number	Planning officer	Town Councillor	Agent
	24/00364/HOUSE	Stephanie Payne 19/03/2024	Cllr Layne	Sutton Architects Ltd
Applicant		House Name	Road	Locality
Mr & M	rs P Tindal		11 Knole Road	Eastern
Town		County	Post Code	Application date
				27/02/24

Proposed single storey side extension with lantern skylights.

Comment

Sevenoaks Town Council recommended approval, subject to the Planning Officer being satisfied that it won't impact neighbours by way of loss of amenity.

	Plan Number	Planning officer	Town Councillor	Agent
	24/00383/HOUSE	Abbey Aslett 22/03/2024	Cllr Dr Dixon	Harringtons 2006
Applicant		House Name	Road	Locality
Mr & M	rs Figaredo & Alvarez		6 Merlewood	St Johns
Town	1	County	Post Code	Application date
				01/03/24

Demolish garage, and erect two storey front and side extension, new bay window to front elevation, replacement roof raising ridge with gable to rear and rooflights, single storey rear extension, change elevational treatments, alteration to fenestration and new gates and fencing.

Comment

Sevenoaks Town Council recommended approval, provided that the conditions imposed via previous grants of permission for this site are repeated in this application, as appropriate.

16	Plan Number	Planning officer	Town Councillor	Agent	
	24/00390/MMA	Samantha Yates 22/03/2024	Cllr Gustard	Smart Architecture Ltd	
Applicant		House Name	Road	Locality	
Mr & M	Irs Golding	Oak Croft	West Heath Lane	Kippington	
Town		County	Post Code	Application date	
				01/03/24	
Amer	ndment to 22/0022	21/FUL.			

Comment

Sevenoaks Town Council recommended approval, subject to the Planning Officer being satisfied that there is no loss of amenity to neighbours.

17	Plan Number	Planning officer	Town Councillor	Agent
	24/00396/HOUSE	Christopher Park 25/03/2024	Cllr Daniell	Kent Building Control Ltd
Applic	cant	House Name	Road	Locality
Mr & Mi	rs Beales	Crossways	112 Oakhill Road	Kippington
Town	1	County	Post Code	Application date
				04/03/24

Single storey side extension, infill front extension, alterations to fenestration, alterations to the patio and associated retaining wall.

Comment

Sevenoaks Town Council recommended approval on the condition that the Conservation Officer approves the materials to be used.

Applications considered on 18-3-24

	Plan Number	Planning officer	Town Councillor	Agent	
	24/00466/MMA	Christopher Park 02/04/2024	Cllr Varley	Open Architecture	
Applicant		House Name	Road	Locality	
Mr C Mitchell		Whiteacre	87 Oakhill Road	Kippington	
Town	1	County	Post Code	Application date	
				12/03/24	
Amendment to 23/01020/HOUSE.					

Comment

Sevenoaks Town Council recommended approval.

Sevenoaks Town Council

Report of Planning Committee 18th March 2024

Sevenoaks Town Wide 20 mph Speed Limit 2023 Consultation

Report of Planning Committee 18th March 2024, updating the local community on Sevenoaks town wide 20 mph proposals and the outcome of Sevenoaks Town Council's 2023 public consultation, and providing the recommendation made by the Town Council's Planning Committee held on 18th March 2024, to Kent County Council (KCC) and the Sevenoaks Joint Transportation Board (JTB).

1. BACKGROUND

The following five petitions presented to Kent County Council and Sevenoaks District Council over the last six years created the demand for a 20mph provision in Sevenoaks:

- To reduce speeds in Seal Hollow Road to create safe access to Knole Park.
- For a 20mph zone around Sevenoaks Primary School.
- For a 20mph zone around St John's Primary School.
- For a wide 20mph zone, to protect the remaining primary and secondary schools in the area bounded by Seal Hollow Road, Sevenoaks High Street, South Park, the railway line and the A25.
- For a 20mph zone to protect families walking from the Greatness and Hillingdon areas to Seal Primary School

Two of these proposals have been implemented (for Sevenoaks and St John's Primary Schools) but the remaining schools and the surrounding residential areas remain at 30mph.

In 2022 Kent County Council conducted a consultation on a town wide 20 mph scheme, at the request of ClIr Streatfeild and funded through his Combined Member Grant. The Joint Transportation Board considered the consultation at the Joint Transportation Board meeting in December 2022 and again in March 2023. It was recommended by the Board that a specific Working Group be set up to continue to develop an appropriate scheme and approach to the 20 mph project.

Revised plans were created in response to the initial consultation mentioned. In theory, the revised plans should have been heavily supported by the public, as they were revised with the initial objections, so it could have been reasonably deduced that most of the original "No" votes had been addressed.

Sevenoaks Town Council is of the opinion that the introduction of additional areas of 20mph is essential to the town's current and future road safety, protection of the environment and the community's well-being. It is also complementary to other proposals, including those for

new cycle routes in the future and Aim M4 in the Sevenoaks Town Neighbourhood Plan which supports additional 20mph in residential areas and around schools.

2. CONSULTATION PROCESS

Sevenoaks Joint Transportation Board wanted to see further public consultation before moving forward with its project. The proposals to be consulted on for the Sevenoaks 20, mph scheme was prepared by the Sevenoaks Joint Transportation Board Working Group and KCC.

Subsequently, Sevenoaks Town Council conducted a town-wide public survey over the course of a six-week consultation period to gather the thoughts and feelings of Sevenoaks residents on the revised scheme. The consultation was launched and took place between 1st November and 14th December 2023.

A copy of Sevenoaks Town Council's quarterly magazine – the Town Crier contained the consultation information as approved by KCC Officers and members of the JTB. It was delivered to all homes in the town and also circulated locally within the community.

Sevenoaks Town Council was responsible for the design of the survey and leaflet in consultation with Kent County Council (who signed off the consultation document). Sevenoaks Town Council was responsible for the promotion, and collection and analysis of the consultation responses. To ensure impartiality Lake Market Research was appointed by Sevenoaks Town Council to conduct an independent analysis of the feedback.

In relation to KCC's 20 mph plan for Sevenoaks town the following questions were asked:

- Q.1 Do you support 20 mph limits in residential roads and roads in close proximity to schools?
- Q.2 Do you support the proposal for a 20-mph limit for most of central Sevenoaks including schools? (map provided)
- Q.3 Are there any roads in the proposal you would like to see left out?

A copy of the Town Crier's questionnaire is attached.

Sevenoaks Town Council Planning Committee Minutes 18-03-2024 - AMENDED as per Minutes of 02-04-2024 Planning Committee STC Planning Cttee 18.2.24 20 mph

3. ANALYSES OF RESPONSES PROCESS

Sevenoaks Town Council commissioned the same consultants, Lake Market Research as that KCC had used in the 2022 exercise. In order to ensure continuity and independence of the analyses.

A full copy of the Lake Market Research report analysing the responses is attached.

At the request of the Joint Transportation Board Chair a copy of the raw data relating to the responses has been supplied to KCC which is to be distributed only within GDPR rules. It should be noted that the raw data is prior to any analyses and therefore will not have indicated where responses have been deemed invalid for any reasons e.g. multiple responses from one individual.

2,279 valid consultation responses were received via the online survey. 263 valid consultation responses were submitted via the paper survey, total 2,542.

4. KEY DATA FROM CONSULTATION

Q.1 Do you support 20 mph limits in residential roads and roads in close proximity to schools? (Yes / No closed response)

% INDICATING YES	Number of consultees of total answering 2,524	% of total answering 2,524
Consultees living in Sevenoaks Town only	1,166	74%
Consultees living in Sevenoaks District Council boundary but excluding Sevenoaks Town	418	52%
Consultees living outside Sevenoaks District Council boundary	84	64%

Q.2 Do you support the proposal for a 20-mph limit for most of central Sevenoaks including schools? (map provided) (Yes / No closed response)

% INDICATING YES	Number of consultees of total answering 2,525	% of total answering 2,525
Consultees living in Sevenoaks Town only	965	61%
Consultees living in Sevenoaks District Council boundary but excluding Sevenoaks Town	303	38%
Consultees living outside Sevenoaks District Council boundary	67	48%

Q.1 & 2 – Under 18s responses:

Summary of Under 18 responses (180 total)	Q1	Q2
Yes	132 (75%)	119 (68%)
No	43 (25%)	56 (32%)

Q.3 Are there any roads in the proposal you would like to see left out? (Free text response)

This open text question enabled respondents to highlight individual roads they believed should be excluded from the scheme. It is the view of Sevenoaks Town Council that the data gathered here does not substantially alter the overall outcome gathered in questions 1 and 2.

The JTB Working Group meeting held on 23rd February 2024, which discussed the Lake Market Research report, there was some discussion about whether a response which voted "Yes" to the proposals (Question 2) could be considered a "Yes" if they subsequently requested removal of one or more roads.

STC think the public would be astonished to know that if they voted **Yes** and subsequently said they wanted one or more road removed JTB would be considering manipulating their response to a **No.** We understand the interest about the responses relating to certain roads, however this is very small in relation to the overall responses and should not be used to overturn a Yes vote.

Included in this report are four additional tables, provided in order to answer JTB Working Group queries as to the numbers of such responses. Table 1 shows results for all responders and Table 2 shows Sevenoaks Town residents' responses (Table 2). Tables 3 and 4 provide information on the impact that the road removal requests have on the percentage of approval on Q2. Please note that some of the roads named by respondents were not included in the scheme being consulted on and therefore cannot be included in the requests for removal.

Of 96 **valid** responses which voted in favour of the proposals, and then requested changes, **62** of these requested roads included in the proposals be removed. The numbers within column 5 of Tables 1 and 2, and column 2 of Tables 3 and 4 reflect the content of these requests.

From the 62 removal requests, the road with the highest concern is St John's Hill via 22 responses from 2,542 responses = 0.87%. Combining this with the responses which also requested Dartford Road <u>and/or</u> the A225 be removed, produces a total of 35 <u>unique*</u> removal requests for this stretch of road, from respondents who had voted in favour of the proposals. **35 responses from 2,542 is** <u>**1.38%**</u>.

*Unique, meaning that the number of respondents who requested <u>either</u> of these three roads was counted once, regardless of whether they requested all three roads, or just one.

It should be noted that the percentages in Lake Market Research's report for responses to Q3 do not add up to 100%, as one comment may have included multiple roads – as demonstrated above.

Summary of Consultation responses:

- The valid consultation responses show a very strong endorsement from Town residents for the 20 scheme drawn up by the JTB Working Group last spring (61%), and overall support for the scheme by all respondents (53%), after taking account of objections from outside the town.
- Responses on removing individual roads, which are less than would be needed to overcome the balance of views in favour of inclusion (see Appendix E); these suggestions leave an overall balance in favour of inclusion, and a large balance in favour for Town residents.
- Some of the suggestions for removal Tubbs Hill, London Road, A25, Seal Hollow Rd, (25 in total) are for roads which were already excluded in the JTB Working Group plan, so do not really affect the consultation assessment.
- iv) The very high support for Aim M4 in the Sevenoaks Town Neighbourhood Plan (20mph near schools and in residential areas) could be taken to justify including two residential roads which are heavily used for school access but which the JTB Working Group left out in recent consultation (in original scheme) - Pinewood Avenue and Ash Platt. Sevenoaks Town Council would support this addition.

Q.3. Table 1 – All Responders

Q3. Are there any roads in the proposal you would like to see left out?	Number answering Q3. (1100)	% of Q3 responders (1100 total response to question)	% of overall responses (2,542) including those who did not respond to Q3	Of which, number of responses which had also said "Yes" to Q2. (62)
No / none of them	333	30%	13.10%	
All of them / all roads	262	24%	10.31%	1
St John's Hill	110	10%	4.33%	22
Object (reject proposal) / no roads should be				0
20mph / already heavy traffic / slow enough /				
causes congestion	90	8%	3.54%	
All roads apart from near schools / hospitals				0
should be excluded	89	8%	3.50%	
Dartford Road	84	8%	3.30%	20
London Road	73	7%	2.87%	10
St Botolph's Road	56	5%	2.20%	12
Seal Hollow Road	49	4%	1.93%	5
Main roads / Major routes (All A & B roads)	45	4%	1.77%	2
Mount Harry Road	43	4%	1.69%	8
High Street / town centre	31	3%	1.22%	2
A225	28	3%	1.10%	6
Only operate 20mph during certain hours, i.e.				2
near schools at certain times	27	2%	1.06%	
Tonbridge Road	24	2%	0.94%	4
The Drive	22	2%	0.87%	2
Hitchen Hatch Lane	21	2%	0.83%	2
A25	14	1%	0.55%	5
Oak Lane	12	1%	0.47%	N/A
A224	11	1%	0.43%	0
Bradbourne Vale Road	10	1%	0.39%	N/A
Brittains Lane	10	1%	0.39%	N/A
Vine Court Road	9	1%	0.35%	0
20mph limits need to be enforced / lack of				0
enforcement / people will not take notice	9	1%	0.35%	
South Park	8	1%	0.31%	0
Most of them should be excluded	8	1%	0.31%	0
Granville road	8	1%	0.31%	1
Waste of tax-payers money / money could be		1%		0
better spent	7		0.28%	
Tubs Hill	6	1%	0.24%	N/A

Q.3. Table 2 – Sevenoaks Town Responders

Q3. Are there any roads in the proposal you	Number	% of Q.3	% of overall	Of which,
would like to see left out?	answering	responders	responses	number of
	Q.3. (665)	(665 within	(1,587)	responses
	within	Sevenoaks	within	which also
	Sevenoaks	parish)	Sevenoaks	said "Yes"
	parish		parish	to Q2. (45)
No / none of them	244	37%	15.37%	
All of them / all roads	109	17%	6.87%	0
Object (reject proposal) no roads should be 20 mph / already heavy traffic / slow enough /	40	11%		0
causes congestion			2.52%	
St John's Hill	72	9%	4.54%	15
All roads apart from near schools should be excluded / only roads near schools / hospitals	50	9%		0
should be included			3.15%	
Dartford Road	58	7%	3.65%	15
London Road	43	7%	2.71%	6
St Botolph's Road	37	5%	2.33%	6
Mount Harry Road	33	5%	2.08%	5
Seal Hollow Road	30	4%	1.89%	5
Main roads / major routes (All A & B roads)	27	4%	1.70%	2
High Street / town centre	13	2%	0.82%	2
A225	18	3%	1.13%	5
Only operate 20 mph during certain hours, i.e. near schools at certain times	20	3%	1.26%	2
Tonbridge Road	19	3%	1.20%	4
The Drive	13	2%	0.82%	0
Hitchen Hatch Lane	16	2%	1.01%	1
A25	7	1%	0.44%	5
Oak Lane	9	1%	0.57%	N/A
A224	8	1%	0.44%	0
Bradbourne Vale Road	8	1%	0.50%	N/A
Brittains Lane	8	1%	0.50%	N/A
Vine Court Road	4	1%	0.25%	0
20 mph limits need to be enforced / lack of	7	1%		0
enforcement / people will not take notice			0.44%	
South Park	5	1%	0.32%	0
Most of them should be excluded	6	1%	0.38%	0
Granville Road	6	1 %	0.38%	0
Waste of tax payers money / money could be	5	1%		0
better spent			0.32%	
Tubs Hill	3	1%	0.19%	N/A

Q.3. Table 3 – Impact of road removal requests from respondees who voted "Yes" to
Question 2, to its overall support – All Responders

Road name	Number of respondents who said "Yes" to Q2 requesting REMOVAL of this road	Percentage of overall respondents (2,542)	% Impact on "Yes" votes to Q2 (being 53%), if the respondents "Yes" vote were to be removed
All of them / All roads	1	0.04%	52.61%
Main roads / Major routes (All A & B roads)	2	0.08%	52.92%
High Street / Town Centre	2	0.08%	52.92%
Dartford Road	20	0.79%	52.21%
St Johns Hill	22	0.87%	52.13%
A225	6	0.24%	52.76%
Dartford Road, St John's Hill and A225 COMBINED (unique responses i.e. 1 vote counted if same responder requested BOTH)	35	1.38%	51.62%
Seal Hollow Road	5	0.2%	52.8%
St Botolph's Road	12	0.47%	52.53%
Mount Harry Road	8	0.31%	52.69%
London Road	10	0.39%	52.61%
Hitchen Hatch Lane	2	0.08%	52.92%
Tonbridge Road	4	0.16%	52.84%
The Drive	2	0.08%	52.92%
Only operate 20mph during certain hours / i.e near schools at certain times	2	0.08%	52.92%
A25	5	0.2%	52.8%

Q.3. Table 4 – Impact of road removal requests from respondees who voted "Yes" to Question 2, to its overall support – Sevenoaks Town Responders

Road name	Number of Sevenoaks Town respondents who said "Yes" to Q2 requesting REMOVAL of this road	Percentage of overall Sevenoaks Town respondents (1,587)	% Impact on "Yes" votes to Q2 (being 61%), if the respondents "Yes" vote were to be removed
All of them / All roads	0	0%	61%
Main roads / Major routes (All A & B roads)	2	0.13%	60.87%
High Street / Town Centre	2	0.13%	60.87%
Dartford Road	15	0.95%	60.05%
St Johns Hill	15	0.95%	60.05%
A225	5	0.32%	60.68%
Dartford Road, St John's Hill and A225 <u>COMBINED</u> (unique responses i.e. 1 vote counted if same responder requested BOTH)	24	1.51%	59.49%
Seal Hollow Road	5	0.32%	60.68%
St Botolph's Road	6	0.38%	60.62%
Mount Harry Road	5	0.32%	60.68&
London Road	6	0.38%	60.62%
Hitchen Hatch Lane	1	0.06%	60.94%
Tonbridge Road	4	0.25%	60.75%
The Drive	0	0%	61%
Only operate 20mph during certain hours / i.e near schools at certain times	2	0.13%	60.87%
A25	5	0.32%	60.68%
Granville Road	0	0%	61%

Sevenoaks Town Council Planning Committee Minutes 18-03-2024 - AMENDED as per Minutes of 02-04-2024 Planning Committee STC Planning Cttee 18.2.24 20 mph

5. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

- 1. JTB 20 mph Working Group, set up at the request of the Joint Transportation Board, met on Friday 23rd February 2024 to review the findings of the consultation and to seek to agree a position in advance of the next Joint Transportation Board meeting (this meeting). There were several discussions but there was a general feeling that the main area in question, from the public feedback and potential suitability of a 20 mph limit, was now limited to the Dartford Road. Speed data was requested to understand potential compliance with the new lower limit and KCC Officers provide this data along with detailed collision data.
- 2. **KCC's initial design in 2022**, from which the current scheme is derived, is assumed was based on known speed data, current policy and the analyses made by the Highway Engineers. Dartford Road was always considered within the threshold for a lowered speed limit.
- 3. **Dartford Road** It is understood the proposal for the Dartford Road zebra crossing and road layout changes were to ensure adherence to the reduced speed limit rather than because existing speeds were too high.
- 4. **Local Speed Data** Following a request from the Chair of the Joint Transportation Board (February 2024) Sevenoaks Town Council provided KCC with speed data from its Speed Indicator Device (SID) which provides indicative average speed of:
 - St Johns Hill averaged 21.8mph Southbound and 21.2mph Northbound
 - Bradbourne Vale Road averaged 28.5 Eastbound
 - Brittains Lane averaged 27.2mph Southbound and 26mph Northbound
- 5. Road Speeds KCC has also provided data from traffic and speed surveys conducted for Sevenoaks High Street, London Road, and two sections of Tonbridge Road as per Sevenoaks Town Council's Planning Committee Agenda papers for 4th March 2024 (Pages 25-30). A225 High Street averaged 15.4mph North and 18.5mph South. A224 London Road averaged 22.4mph North and 23.2mph South with less traffic flow. A225 Tonbridge Road (Northern end) averaged 24.5mph North and 25.5mph South, and again further south, A225 Tonbridge Road averaged 34.2mph North and 34.1mph South.

The data circulated by KCC Officer recently, shows almost all the roads in the consultation scheme meet speed requirements for signed 20 limits, including Dartford Road. A short stretch of Dartford Road is close to the limit, but the addition of a zebra crossing north of the Vine will have a favourable impact, and this already has strong support from the 2022 consultation, plus Town Council CIL funding.

The only exceptions could be:

- a 150 metre stretch of Seal Hollow Road between The Paddocks and Knole Golf Club

- a 100 metre stretch of Mount Harry between Birch Close and Pendennis Road
- a very short section of Lambarde Road about 50 metres north of the point where the walking / wheeling route will cross it.

None of these should be an insuperable problem. The section of Seal Hollow Road includes the footpath access From Hollybush Rec to the golf club and Godden Green and affects approach speeds to the Hole in the Wall. It is a well-used access for runners, walkers, and dog walkers; if visual traffic calming is needed it should be included. The short stretch of Mount Harry already has a traffic island near the crossing with Woodside Road; road marking may be enough to extend its effect.

There are no grounds for excluding roads on grounds of current speeds.

6. Department for Transport Legislation & 20 mph

KCC Highway Officers have confirmed the following:


Setting Local Speed limits is a DFT requirement and led by individual local authorities, this guidance suggests that speeds of 24mph are less are the traditional limit for existing speeds when considering new 20mph limits. KCC has a guidance/policy approach that builds upon this, and we have since May 2019 introduced new 20mph speed limits on roads where existing speeds are between 24-28mph, when we feel compliance with a 20mph could be met, often through some innovative traffic calming measures that may include, new crossing points, additional roundels/road markings etc.

So, unless existing speeds are above 28mph it is possible to introduce 20mph. when these existing speeds are at the upper end of that 24-28mph there will be an individual assessment about the suitability/appropriateness of a 20mph limit, we will seek Kent Police's view and that of the local community when making the final decision. There is no one size fits all sweeping policy on this.

I have taken a closer look at the speed data for the sections of road of concern highlighted (*Mount Harry Road and part of Dartford Road*). I have reviewed our telematic speed data collected between April 2022-March. The speed data presented here are the average speeds for a 24hr period in both directions combined.

The data is showing that speeds only increase above 28mph on one section of Mount Harry Road between Birch Close and Blair Drive, although speeds over 26mph are recorded either side of this section (highest mean speed was 29.2mph). This is a straight section of road with few property accesses or junctions, so perhaps unsurprising that speeds are higher here before reducing at the junction with Woodside Road and the bend in the road near Linden Chase.

Mean speeds on Dartford Road between the A25 and the B2019 Seal Hollow Road, and on Hitchen Hatch Lane remain below 28mph.



7. Crash Data - Sevenoaks Town Council produced an interactive map for the consultation using Crash data provided by KCC and using map co-ordinates for accuracy. It is available here and users can click on each incident for more information: <u>https://shared.xmap.cloud/?map=b0f286ce-6790-408b-b593-daf9a0dedfeb&ordering=new</u>. Alternatively, data can be found on <u>CrashMap</u>. KCC has provided the data including Incident Reports which indicates cause, weather conditions, details of crash etc. (Appendix C)

Data included in the consultation document showed the number and distribution of roughly three crash injuries a month in Sevenoaks Town up to March 2023. A 10% reduction (a conservative estimate) would produce a significant saving compared to costs.

New data from Kent Highways covers the last three years - when traffic levels were affected by Covid. Even so, if speeds had been lower then, it is reasonable to think that at least one of the serious crash injuries would have been avoided (probably the one at the Hillingdon Avenue / Seal Hollow Road junction which was certainly due to speed). The savings from that alone, to emergency services, the NHS and for the family affected, would justify the costs of the scheme. The cost benefit calculation is clear.

 Walking / Cycling Routes - Sevenoaks Town Council has also provided a map showing proposed and existing walking/cycling routes/Public Rights of Way (Appendix D). These are as identified either in the Sevenoaks Town Neighbourhood Plan, or the Sevenoaks Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan.

These are summarised in the KCC Officer report - except for the school access from Bat & Ball station to Trinity and the Grammar annexes, and to Knole Academy which are very busy indeed at rush hours. The school access routes, plus the walking / cycling routes in the LCWIP and STNP cover many of the roads which lie within the consultation scheme. More importantly, several of them cross Dartford Road / St Johns Hill :

- west and north of The Vine where there is no pedestrian crossing, although the Town Council is ready to fund one

- by Hollybush Lane and the shops, where there is a zebra crossing although pedestrians cross north and south of it

- between Wickenden Road and St James' road, a main route to Sevenoaks Primary, and to the station - and this is the point at which the walking / wheeling route crosses St Johns Hill

In addition, there is significant pedestrian traffic at Wickenden Road and Camden Road, towards Sevenoaks primary and other schools, as well as to St Johns Medical Centre, and at Quakers Hall Lane. Dartford Road and St Johns Hill are heavily used - and crossed - by pedestrians, in spite of unregulated pavement parking.

9. STNP & 20 mph Sevenoaks Town Neighbourhood Plan supports 20mph in residential areas and around schools and was approved by an overwhelming majority in the May 2023 referendum. The proposal in the 2023 consultation is complementary to other proposals, including the new cycle route affecting several roads between Trinity School and London Road and crossing St Johns Hill, and a new zebra crossing on Dartford Road.

The Sevenoaks Town Neighbourhood Plan (STNP) a locally adopted strategic planning document contains the following:

Theme Three: Movement and Public Realm

Aim 4: The Neighbourhood Plan supports the introduction of a 20-mph speed limit close to schools and some residential areas.

Improvements in road safety in Sevenoaks could be achieved by:

- Promoting low speed limit regimes in built up residential areas consistent with the government's advice on the setting of local speed limits, and
- Targeting measures to calm traffic in areas of high pedestrian activity including school entrances and shopping areas.

Subject to the outcome of transport modelling, a 20-mph speed limit in certain locations could help to achieve the aim above and also help to improve the environment for walking and cycling.

10. Additional Roads Members of the 20 mph Working Group requested to include the following: Highlands Avenue, Ash Platt Road, and Pinewood Avenue. These would need including in any Traffic Regulation Order. They were part of the original TRO consultation but not this latest consultation. There was unanimous support at the Joint Transportation Board for their inclusion in any recommendation going forward, including from KCC Officers, and this would be supported by Sevenoaks Town Council.

6. Sevenoaks Town Council comments on JTB 20 mph Report for 20.3.24

Ref	JTB Report	STC Comment
Pg 9	It is important to note that the full data	This information has to be taken from the
	set indicated that a number of	raw data rather than from the validated
3.2	respondents (78) who supported	data from STC and Lake Market Research.
	Question 2, also indicated that they	STC has provided data relating to the 62
	would like one or more roads removing	responses down to the granular detail of
	from the scheme at Question 3.	identifying each and multiple roads where indicated.
	In other words, these respondents do not unequivocally support the scheme as per	Of the 62, the road with the highest concern is St John's Hill via 22 responses from 2,542
	the roads shown on the scheme map for	responses = 0.87%. Combining this with the
	Question 2.	responses – 0.87 %. Combining this with the responses which also requested Dartford Road and/or the A225 be removed,
	Taking this into account, this reduces the	produces a total of 35 unique* removal
	support for Question 2 to 49%.	requests for this stretch of road, from respondents who had voted in favour of the
		proposals. 35 responses from 2,542 is 1.38%.
		*Unique, meaning that the number of
		respondents who requested either of these
		three roads was counted once, regardless of
		whether they requested all three roads, or
		just one.
		STC think the public would be astonished to know that if they voted Yes and
		subsequently said they wanted one or more road removed, JTB would be considering
		manipulating their response to a No. We
		understand the interest about the
		responses relating to certain roads,
		however this is very small in relation to the overall responses and should not be used to
		overturn a Yes vote.
	NB: STC is unable to confirm whether or	This is not correct, see comment above.
	not those 78 views where all from valid	The summary tables provided to the JTB
	responses.	<u>Chair stated in bold that this number was</u> 62.
Pg 10	of note, are the speeds for Mount Harry Road at 30.7 mph in both directions.	KCC Highway Officer stated 8.3.24:
5.1.	,	I have taken a closer look at the speed
		data for the sections of road of concern
		highlighted. I have reviewed our telematic
		speed data collected between April 2022-
		March. The speed data presented here

	Speed data is not available for the long wide stretch of St John's Hill or Dartford Road; the data for Dartford Road was captured at the Vine. Whilst there is no data for St Botolph's Road speeds are likely to be high due to the generous width of the road.	are the average speeds for a 24hr period in both directions combined. The data is showing that speeds only increase above 28mph on one section of Mount Harry Road between Birch Close and Blair Drive, although speeds over 26mph are recorded either side of this section (highest mean speed was 29.2mph). This is a straight section of road with few property accesses or junctions, so perhaps unsurprising that speeds are higher here before reducing at the junction with Woodside Road and the bend in the road near Linden Chase. Mean speeds on Dartford Road between the A25 and the B2019 Seal Hollow Road, and on Hitchen Hatch Lane remain below 28mph KCC Highway Officer to verify?
Pg 11 6.3.	ensure compliance by removing Mount Harry / Hitchen Hatch Road, St Botolph Road, and the northern section of the A225 from the Vine to the Bat & Ball junction.	Is this compliant with KCC Highway Officer and DfT recommendations?
Pg 11 6.4.	would best represent the majority of respondents (66%) who supported the first question in the consultation.	For Sevenoaks Town residents this rose to 74%

7. LEGAL

The current KCC Traffic Regulation Order (as advertised for the previous consultation) are valid for 24 months which will run out in September 2024. This means that if the scheme is progressed there is no need to repeat the legal Traffic Regulation Order advertising exercise as any scheme would be within the limits of the previous legal process.

8. FINANCE

Sevenoaks Town Council has Resolved as part of its Community Investment Plan 2023 to fund the implementation of any resulting 20mph signage scheme estimated at £130,000 and a zebra crossing at Dartford Road estimated at £25,000 as approved for delivery via this consultation process.

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATION FROM SEVENOAKS TOWN COUNCIL

There has now been considerable consultation relating to the original and refined plans for a 20-mph scheme in Sevenoaks town.

- 1. KCC are requested to accept the public's majority response for the scheme as a whole and for all the constituent roads. STC requests KCC to move forward with the scheme as designed, subject to all roads being compliant with Department for Transport and KCC policies on compliance.
- 2. STC recommended in addition that the proposed zebra crossing on Dartford Road which was part of the original 20mph consultation by KCC be progressed.

APPENDICES

- a) Lake Market Research report Sevenoaks Town Council 20 mph Consultation Report on KCC Consultation Questions (attached, pages 17-31)
- b) Sevenoaks Town Council's 20 mph Town Crier (including questionnaire) (attached, pages 32-39)
- c) Interactive map for Crash Data (see link)
- d) Map indicating proposed and existing cycle and walking routes (see link)
- e) Additional data relating to requests for individual roads inclusion and removal (attached, pages 40-43)



SEVENOAKS TOWN COUNCIL **20MPH CONSULTATION**

REPORT ON KENT COUNTY COUNCIL CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

PREPARED BY LAKE MARKET RESEARCH





CONTENTS

Background and methodology	3
Executive summary	5
Consultation response	6
Appendix – Consultation questionnaire	11

BACKGROUND AND METHODOLOGY

Background

The following five petitions presented to Kent County Council and Sevenoaks District Council over the last six years created the demand for a 20mph provision in Sevenoaks:

- To reduce speeds in Seal Hollow Road to create safe access to Knole Park.
- For a 20mph zone around Sevenoaks Primary School.
- For a 20mph zone around St John's Primary School.
- For a wide 20mph zone, to protect the remaining primary and secondary schools in the area bounded by Seal Hollow Road, Sevenoaks High Street, South Park, the railway line and the A25.
- For a 20mph zone to protect families walking from the Greatness and Hillingdon areas to Seal Primary School

Two of these have been implemented (for Sevenoaks and St John's Primary Schools) but the remaining schools and the surrounding residential areas remain at 30mph.

Sevenoaks Town Council is of the opinion that the introduction of additional areas of 20mph is essential to our current and future road safety, protection of the environment and the community's well-being. It is also complementary to other proposals, including those for new cycle routes in the future and the Aim M4 in the Sevenoaks Town Neighbourhood Plan which supports additional 20mph in residential areas and around schools.

Consultation process

Sevenoaks Joint Transportation Board wanted to see further public consultation before moving forward with the project. Sevenoaks Town Council conducted a town-wide public survey over the course of a six-week consultation period to gather the thoughts and feelings of Sevenoaks residents. The consultation was launched on 1st November and closed on 14th December. Feedback was captured via a consultation questionnaire; a copy of which can be found in the Appendix of this report.

Points to note

- Consultees were given the choice of which questions they wanted to answer / provide comments. The number of consultees providing an answer is shown on each chart / table featured in this report.
- Please note that for single choice questions the sum of individual percentages may not sum to 100% due to rounding.
- Participation in consultations is self-selecting and this needs to be considered when interpreting responses.
- All residents were provided with the information consultation document delivered to homes, and via other promotional material within the community. The information consultation document included a paper version of the survey and a freepost address.

- The report details the feedback from residents who live in Sevenoaks Town separately to residents living outside of Sevenoaks Town.
- Sevenoaks Town Council was responsible for the design in consultation with Kent County Council (who signed off the consultation document. Sevenoaks Town Council was responsible for the promotion, and collection of the consultation responses. Lake Market Research was appointed to conduct an independent analysis of feedback.

Response profile

2,279 valid consultation responses were received via the online survey. 263 valid consultation responses were submitted via the paper survey.

Just under two thirds of the consultees responding (62%) indicated that they live in Sevenoaks Town. 32% of the consultees responding indicated they live within the Sevenoaks District Council boundary but outside of Sevenoaks Town. 6% of those responding live outside of the Sevenoaks District Council boundary.

This report presents findings from all consultees who participated in the consultation as well consultees living in each geographic area.

	Number of consultees of total answering 2,542	% of total answering 2,542
Sevenoaks Town	1,587	62%
Sevenoaks District Council boundary (excluding Sevenoaks Town)	815	32%
Outside Sevenoaks District Council boundary	140	6%
- Bromley	21	1%
- Tonbridge and Malling	84	3%
- Other local authority areas	35	1%

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY


QUESTION ONE – 20MPH LIMITS IN RESIDENTIAL ROADS AND ROADS IN CLOSE PROXIMITY TO SCHOOLS

Two thirds (66%) of all consultees indicated they support 20mph limits on these road types and 34% indicated they did not support 20mph limits on these road types.

When filtering results amongst consultees who live in Sevenoaks Town only, the proportion who support 20mph limits in residential roads and roads in close proximity to schools increases to 74%.

QUESTION TWO – 20MPH LIMIT FOR MOST OF CENTRAL SEVENOAKS, INCLUDING SCHOOLS

Consultees were also asked to indicate whether they support 20mph limits for most of central Sevenoaks with the assistance of the map shown below:



Just over half (53%) of all consultees indicated they support 20mph limits for most of central Sevenoaks and 47% indicated they did not support 20mph limits for most of central Sevenoaks.

Sevenoaks Town Council Planning Committee Minutes 18-03-2024 - AMENDED as per Minutes of 02-04-2024 Planning Committee


When filtering results amongst consultees who live in Sevenoaks Town only, the proportion who support a 20mph limit for most of central Sevenoaks, including schools increases to 61%.

CONSULTATION RESPONSE

QUESTION ONE – 20MPH LIMITS IN RESIDENTIAL ROADS AND ROADS IN CLOSE PROXIMITY TO SCHOOLS

- Consultees were first asked to indicate whether they support 20mph limits in residential roads and roads in close proximity to schools.
- Two thirds (66%) indicated they support 20mph limits on these road types and 34% indicated they did not support 20mph limits on these road types.

Do you support 20mph limits in residential roads and roads in close proximity to schools? Base: all providing a response (2,524), the sum of individual percentages may not sum to 100% due to rounding



SUPPORTING DATA TABLE	Number of consultees of total answering 2,524	% of total answering 2,524
Yes	1,668	66%
No	856	34%


- When filtering results amongst consultees who live in Sevenoaks Town only, the proportion who support 20mph limits in residential roads and roads in close proximity to schools increases to 74%.
- When filtering results amongst consultees who live in the Sevenoaks District Council but outside of Sevenoaks Town, the proportion who support 20mph limits in residential roads and roads in close proximity to schools decreases to 52%.

% INDICATING YES	Number of consultees of total answering 2,524	% of total answering 2,524
Consultees living in Sevenoaks Town only	1,166	74%
Consultees living in Sevenoaks District Council boundary but excluding Sevenoaks Town	418	52%
Consultees living outside Sevenoaks District Council boundary	84	64%

QUESTION TWO – 20MPH LIMIT FOR MOST OF CENTRAL SEVENOAKS, INCLUDING SCHOOLS

- Consultees were then asked to indicate whether they support 20mph limits for most of central Sevenoaks, including schools.
- Just over half (53%) indicated they support 20mph limits for most of central Sevenoaks and 47% indicated they did not support 20mph limits for most of central Sevenoaks.

Do you support the proposal for a 20mph limit for most of central Sevenoaks, including schools? Base: all providing a response (2,525), the sum of individual percentages may not sum to 100% due to rounding



SUPPORTING DATA TABLE	Number of consultees of total answering 2,525	% of total answering 2,525
Yes	1,335	53%
No	1,190	47%


- When filtering results amongst consultees who live in Sevenoaks Town only, the proportion who support a 20mph limit for most of central Sevenoaks, including schools increases to 61%.
- When filtering results amongst consultees who live in the Sevenoaks district but outside of Sevenoaks Town, the proportion who support a 20mph limit for most of central Sevenoaks, including schools decreases to 38%.

% INDICATING YES	Number of consultees of total answering 2,525	% of total answering 2,525
Consultees living in Sevenoaks Town only	965	61%
Consultees living in Sevenoaks District Council boundary but excluding Sevenoaks Town	303	38%
Consultees living outside Sevenoaks District Council boundary	67	48%

QUESTIONS ONE AND TWO - OVERALL SUPPORT FOR BOTH TYPES OF 20MPH LIMITS

- The chart below depicts the proportion of consultees who support both types of 20mph limits (residential / schools and most of central Sevenoaks), the proportion who support one of the two proposal types and the proportion who do not support either proposal type.
- Overall, just over half (52%) indicated they support both 20mph limits in residential roads / roads in close proximity to schools as well as 20mph limits for most of central Sevenoaks.
 14% support one of the proposals and 34% do not support either proposal.

Do you support 20mph limits in residential roads and roads in close proximity to schools / a 20mph limit for most of central Sevenoaks, including schools? Base: all providing a response (2,530), the sum of individual percentages may not sum to 100% due to rounding



SUPPORTING DATA TABLE	Number of consultees of total answering 2,530	% of total answering 2,530
Support both proposals	1,321	52%
Support one of the proposals	361	14%
Do not support either proposal	848	34%

- When filtering results amongst consultees who live in Sevenoaks Town only, the proportion who support both proposals increases to 60%.
- When filtering results amongst consultees who live in the Sevenoaks district but outside of Sevenoaks Town, the proportion who support both proposals decreases to 37%.

% SUPPORT BOTH PROPOSALS	Number of consultees of total answering 2,530	% of total answering 2,530
Consultees living in Sevenoaks Town only	953	60%
Consultees living in Sevenoaks District Council boundary but excluding Sevenoaks Town	301	37%
Consultees living outside Sevenoaks District Council boundary	67	48%

QUESTION THREE – ROADS CONSULTEES WOULD LIKE LEFT OUT OF PROPOSALS

Consultees were given the opportunity to indicate any roads they would like to see left out of the proposals in their own words. For the purpose of reporting, we have reviewed respondents' comments and have grouped common responses together into themes. These are reported in the table below. 43% provided a comment at this question.

Just under a third (30%) of those providing a comment indicated they wouldn't like any roads left out of the proposal. From the opposite perspective, 24% commented they would like all roads left out of proposals, 8% commented that no roads should be 20mph and 8% commented that all roads apart from those near schools and hospital should be left out.

Of the individual roads referenced, the most common are all or part of the following:

- St John's Hill 10% of those commenting
- Dartford Road 8% of those commenting
- London Road 7% of those commenting
- St Botolph's Road 5% of those commenting
- Seal Hollow Road 4% of those commenting
- Main roads / major roads 4% of those commenting
- Mount Harry Road 4% of those commenting

Are there any roads in the proposal you would like to see left out? Base: all answering (1,100), percentage mentions of 1% and above included below

	Number of consultees of total answering 1,100	% of total answering 1,100
No / none of them	333	30%
All of them / all roads	262	24%
St John's Hill	110	10%
Object (reject proposal) / no roads should be 20mph / already heavy traffic / slow enough / causes congestion	90	8%
All roads apart from near schools / hospitals should be excluded	89	8%
Dartford Road	84	8%
London Road	73	7%
St Botolph's Rd	56	5%
Seal Hollow Road	49	4%
Main roads / Major routes (All A & B roads)	45	4%
Mount Harry Road	43	4%

	Number of consultees of total answering 1,100	% of total answering 1,100
High street / town centre	31	3%
A225	28	3%
Only operate 20mph during certain hours, i.e. near schools at certain times	27	2%
Tonbridge Road	24	2%
The Drive	22	2%
Hitchen Hatch Lane	21	2%
A25	14	1%
Oak lane	12	1%
A224	11	1%
Bradbourne Vale Road	10	1%
Brittains Lane	10	1%
Vine Court Road	9	1%
20mph limits need to be enforced / lack of enforcement / people will not take notice	9	1%
South Park	8	1%
Most of them should be excluded	8	1%
Granville road	8	1%
Waste of tax-payers money / money could be better spent	7	1%
Tubs Hill	6	1%

When filtering results amongst consultees who live in Sevenoaks Town only, the proportion indicating they wouldn't like any roads left out of the proposal increases to 37%. In addition, the proportion commenting they would like all roads left out of proposals decreases to 17%. The most common roads selected are broadly consistent with those observed at a total level.

Consultees living in Sevenoaks Town only

Are there any roads in the proposal you would like to see left out? Base: all answering (655), percentage mentions of 1% and above included below

	Number of consultees of total answering 665	% of total answering 665
No / none of them	244	37%
All of them / all roads	109	17%
Object (reject proposal) / no roads should be 20mph / already heavy traffic / slow enough / causes congestion	40	11%
St John's Hill	72	9%
All roads apart from near schools should be excluded / Only roads near schools / hospitals should be included	50	9%
Dartford Road	58	7%
London Road	43	7%
St Botolph's Rd	37	5%
Mount Harry Road	33	5%
Seal Hollow Road	30	4%
Main roads / Major routes (All A & B roads)	27	4%
High street / town centre	13	2%
A225	18	3%
Only operate 20mph during certain hours, i.e. near schools at certain times	20	3%
Tonbridge Road	19	3%
The Drive	13	2%
Hitchen Hatch Lane	16	2%
A25	7	1%
Oak lane	9	1%
A224	7	1%
Bradbourne Vale Road	8	1%
Brittains Lane	8	1%
Vine Court Road	4	1%
20mph limits need to be enforced / lack of enforcement / people will not take notice	7	1% 601

Sevenoaks Town Council Planning Committee Minutes 18-03-2024 - AMENDED as per Minutes of 02-04-2024 Planning Committee

	Number of consultees of total answering 665	% of total answering 665
South Park	5	1%
Most of them should be excluded	6	1%
Granville road	6	1%
Waste of tax-payers money / money could be better spent	5	1%
Tubs Hill	3	1%

Sevenoaks Town 20mph Public Consultation 2023.

	Please tick YES or NO to indicate your response:	YES	NO
1	Do you support 20mph limits in residential roads and roads in close proximity to schools?		
2	Do you support the proposal for a 20mph limit for most of central Sevenoaks including schools? (See map, page 2)		
3	Are there any roads in the proposal you would like to see left out?		
-	Please indicate :		
4	We would like to understand future demand and therefore are askir you feel should have 20mph speed limits, this does not form part of		
	CRAMPTONS ROAD		
	GREATNESS / MILL LANE		
	LONDON ROAD		
	SEAL HOLLOW ROAD		
	BRITTAINS LANE		(
	OAKLANE		
	TONBRIDGE ROAD (by Sevenoaks School)		
	Are there any roads not named in the list above you would want inclu	uded in the pr	oposal?
	Please indicate :		
	*The 20mph limits may be open to extension in the future, bringing additional roads following the consultation		to include

Responses can be returned via this form to **Freepost: RTHK-RSKY-SSXS**, **Sevenoaks Town Council, Council** Offices, Bradbourne Vale Road, Sevenoaks TN13 3QG by 14th December 2023 or electronically using the QR code below or via our website www.sevenoakstown.gov.uk

Name:	Fields marked with () must be provided to	I
Address:	make the response eligible.	
	Anonymous responses	
*Postcode:	will not be considered. Personal details are	
Email:	required purely for	
(FOR FUTURE UPDATES)	identification	form.jotform.com
ri ri	purposes.	/232892510110344
I am under 18 years old I am over 18 years old		

This public consultation runs in compliance with Sevenoaks Town Council's GDPR Privacy Policy: Find out more at: https://www.sevenoakstown.gov.uk/General_Data_Protection_Regulation_GDPR_21380.aspx

603





Scan me to view on your phone or tablet.



From Sevenoaks Town Council for the community of Sevenoaks.

Autumn 2023





MESSAGE FROM THE MAYOR

Welcome to our special edition of the Town Crier. For several years, there have been discussions on lowering speeds and increasing road safety in our town. Local residents in five different areas of Sevenoaks have campaigned for 20mph limits to improve safety for all.

As a result, KCC led a consultation in 2022 on a 20mph scheme, which has been refined using the feedback. We believe this would give a boost to active travel in Sevenoaks, and can be funded by the Community infrastructure Levy from developers.

This Town Crier has information about the proposed scheme, and details of how to comment 604

We look forward to hearing from you.

Why are 2Sevenoaks Jown Council Planning Committee Minutes 18-03-20

[Source: Government Atkins 20mph study]

TRANSPORT	 Casualty and injury reduction. Reduction of rush hour traffic through residential areas. Reduce the negative impact of cars in urban centres
COMMUNITY	 Community concerns about speed, safety and the quality of the local environment. Demand from local groups and individuals in the community. Seen as a low cost initiative, which delivers instant improvements for local residents, schools and commuters.
HEALTH	Encourage active travelling locally (walking and cycling instead of driving) Improve public health and wellbeing

Background to the demand for 20mph in Sevenoaks.



The following five petitions presented to Kent County Council and Sevenoaks District Council over the last six years created the demand for a 20mph provision in Sevenoaks:

- To reduce speeds in Seal Hollow Road to create safe access to Knole Park
- For a 20mph zone around Sevenoaks Primary School
- For a 20mph zone around St John's Primary School
- For a wide 20mph zone, to protect the remaining primary and secondary schools in the area bounded by Seal Hollow Road, Sevenoaks High Street, South Park, the railway line and the A25.
- For a 20mph zone to protect families walking from the Greatness and Hillingdon areas to Seal Primary School.

Two of these have been implemented (for Sevenoaks and St John's Primary Schools) but the remaining schools and the surrounding residential areas remain at 30mph.

Sevenoaks Town Council is of the opinion that the introduction of additional areas of 20mph is essential to our current and future road safety, protection of the environment protective community's well-being. It is also complementary to other proposals, including those for new cycle routes in the future and the Aim M4 in the Sevenoaks Town Neighbourhood Plan which supports additional 20mph in residential areas and around schools.

Kent County Council 2022 Consultation. [Source: Sevenoaks Joint Transportation Board meeting: 13/12/22]

For the past yeaSevenoaks Town Council Planning Committee Minutes 18-03-20

20mph in Sevenoaks. This has included a public consultation exercise carried out by Kent County Council which included a one-way scheme around the war memorial (now excluded) and left out some areas that residents wanted to be considered including; most of Kippington, Greatness, Bat & Ball area Oak Lane and Brittains Lane

Kent County Council's consultation indicated a majority in favour of a town-wide scheme by those living within it, whereas those surveyed outside the town boundary opposed as a majority.


Need for further consultation and by whom? (Source: Sevenaks Joint Transportation Board meeting: 18/09/23)

Sevenoaks Joint Transportation Board would like further public consultation before moving forward with the project. Sevenoaks Town Council believes it is best placed to progress this consultation in its local community. If public opinion is in favour of the extension of 20mph, there will be a formal legal process to be followed prior to its implementation.

The Town Council is aware that other local councils in Sevenoaks District have consulted with their local residents directly on local community proposals for 20mph.

Revised Project Plan. [Source: Sevenoaks Joint Transportation Board meeting: 15/03/23]

Sevenoaks Town Council is consulting on the outlined revised project plan provided by Kent County Council below. It is a Signage-Only Scheme which will not include physical traffic calming measures.



The **Signage-Only Scheme** is suitable for the project and is significantly less cost than physical traffic measures.

At present, the estimate for a Signage-Only Scheme in Sevenoaks is £130,000, with a further £25,000 for the installation of a zebra crossing on Dartford Road. This will be funded by the Community Infrastructure Levy paid for by developers.

KCC Vision Zero documents states:

Each death and life changing injury on Kent's Highways is a personal tragedy and that is why we have a target of zero deaths.

Serious injuries also have very high social costs. 24-hour home care can cost up to £2,000 per week. Other costs include clearing the scene, emergency services and resulting congestion. The Department for Transport estimates the average value of prevention of each reported casualty, which estimates a value for all human and public costs as follows:

Fatal:	£1.9 Million
Serious:	£220,000
Slight:	£17,000

In 2019 Kent's combined prevention value of all collisions was over £263m, including over £70m for fatalities and over £143m for serious injuries.


Sevenoaks Town Council believes that 20mph limits would be a valuable investment in community safety, as well as encouraging active travel and reducing climate impact.



"A pedestrian is five times more likely to die if hit at 30mph rather than 20mph"

Kent County Council provided the following information for Sevencaks Town for the years 01/04/2013 - 31/03/2023. It should be noted that collisions totalled between 2020-2021 were abnormally low nationally due to the COVID pandemic.

COLLISIONS	295
CASUALTIES	362
VEHICLES INVOLVED	506



Community support in favour of the 20 mph proposals was included within the 2021 Petition submitted to the Joint Transportation Board including from the following schools, community groups and organisations:

- St John's C of E Primary School
- Sevenoaks Primary School
- Lady Boswell's C of E Primary School
- St Thomas Catholic Primary School PTA
- The Granville School
- Trinity School Sevenoaks
- Sevenoaks School
- Knole Paddock Residents' Association

- St John's Residents' Association
- Hollybush Residents' Association
- Bradbourne Residents' Association
- Eardley Road Residents' Association
- White Hart Estates Residents' Association
- Busy Bees Nursery
- Sevenoaks Bicycle User Group



Saturday 11th November: Saturday 9th December: 10am - 12pm 10am - 12pm Meeting Room, Bat & Ball Centre House in the Basement Youth Cafe

SevenoakSevenoaks Town Council Planning Committee Minutes 18-03-20

	Please tick YES or NO to indicate your response:	YES	NO
0	Do you support 20mph limits in residential roads and roads in close proximity to schools?		
2	Do you support the proposal for a 20mph limit for most of central Sevenoaks including schools? (Seemap, page 2)		
3	Are there any roads in the proposal you would like to see left out?		
~	Please indicate :		
4	We would like to understand future demand and therefore are askir you feel should have 20mph speed limits, this does not form part of		
	CRAMPTONS ROAD		
	GREATNESS / MILL LANE		
	LONDON ROAD	· · · · · ·	
	SEAL HOLLOW ROAD		
	BRITTAINS LANE		
	OAKLANE		
	TONBRIDGE ROAD (by Sevenoaks School)		1.1.1.1
	Are there any roads not named in the list above you would want inclu	uded in the pr	oposal?
	Please indicate :		
	*The 20mph limits may be open to extension in the future, bringing		to include

Responses can be returned via this form to Freepost: RTHK-RSKY-SSXS, Sevenoaks Town Council, Council Offices, Bradbourne Vale Road, Sevenoaks TNI3 3QG by 14th December 2023 or electronically using the QR code below or via our website www.sevenoakstown.gov.uk

Name:	Fields marked with () must be provided to make the response eligible.	则和
*Postcode:	Anonymous responses will not be considered. Personal details are	
Email: PORFUTURE UPDATESI I am under 18 years old [] I am over 18 years old []	required purely for identification purposes. 610	form.jotform.com /232892510110344

This public consultation runs in compliance with Sevenoaks Town Council's GDPR Privacy Policy: Find out more at: https://www.sevenoakstown.gov.uk/General_Data_Protection_Regulation_GDPR_21380.aspx

Functions & Facilities provided by Sevenoaks Town Council

Precept

Precept Sevenoaks Town Council Planning Committee Minutes 18-03-20 Sevenoaks Town Council's total revenue budget for the 2023/24 financial year is 21,954,749 per annum. The average Band D house would pay £139.17 per annum equating to £2.68 per week.

OPEN SPACES & LEISURE

- . ALLOTMENTS. Bradbourne Vale Road
 - Quaker's Hall Lane
- BETHEL ROAD BURIAL GROUND
- BRITTAINS COMMON
- BRITTAINS LANE WOOD
- GREATNESS RECREATION GROUND
- THE GREEN HILLINGDON RISE
- HANGING BASKETS
- HORSE TROUGHS (Old Police Station Rheinbach Gardens, St Botolph's Road)
- . IUDD'S PIECE . LAND AT:
 - Letterbox Lane Littlewood Tonbridge Road
- MUGA (Multi Use Games Area)
- MIDDLINGS WOOD
- . MILLPOND WOOD
- PLANTERS (The Shambles, Dorset Street, Pembroke Road, London Road, Buckhurst Lane)
- THE POUND POUND LANE
- RALEY'S FIELD & KNOLE PADDOCK
- RHEINBACH GARDENS
- SEVENOAKS COMMON
- SPORTS PITCHES
- UPPER HIGH STREET GARDENS
- VINE CRICKET GROUND & PAVILION
- VINE GARDENS
 - Public toilet, telephone kiosk with defibrillator

- . WAR MEMORIAL
- WHITE HART BEECHES. WOODSIDE ROAD OPEN SPACE
- CAR PARKS
- RALEYS CAR PARK
- PLAY AREAS
- BUCKHURST LANE PLAY AREA
- GREATNESS RECREATION GROUND & PLAYGROUND
- HILLINGDON RISE PLAY AREA
- IULIANS MEADOW & PLAYGROUND
- KIPPINGTON MEADOW
- MOUNT CLOSE OPEN SPACE & PLAYGROUND
- PONTOISE CLOSE OPEN SPACE & PLAYGROUND
- SERVICES
- . BAT & BALL CENTRE (formerly Sevenoaks Community Centre) Available for hire: meetinas. conferences etc.
- BAT & BALL STATION BUILDING (including) Café and rooms available to hire) batandballstation.com
- BUSINESS HUB
- BUS SHELTERS (Dartford Road x1, Bradbourne) Vale Road x2, London Road x2, Tonbridge Road x1)
- CAFÉ ON THE VINE cafeonthevine.com
- CONSULTEES (Planning, Highways, etc.)
- GRANTS TO LOCAL ORGANISATIONS
- GREATNESS PARK CEMETERY

- HOUSE IN THE BASEMENT (HITB) YOUTH CAFÉ (available for hire)
- INFO-PODS & DIGITAL DISPLAY SCREENS
- LITTER BINS AND GRIT BINS
- MARKETS (Wednesday and Saturday)
- MASTERPLAN FOR NORTHERN SEVENOAKS
- NEIGHBOURHOOD DEVELOPMENT PLAN
- ORBITAL NO 8 BUS
- PUBLIC CLOCKS (Jubilee Clock Old Market House and Warren Clock above Brewers)
- PUBLIC SEATS IN VARIOUS LOCATIONS
- PUBLIC TOILETS (The Stag, The Vine, Lower St Johns, Greatness Recreation Ground)
- SEVENOAKS TOWN TEAM sevenoakspartnership.org
- STAG COMMUNITY ARTS CENTRE Defibrillator in fover stagsevenoaks.co.uk
- STREET LIGHTS IN SOME UNADOPTED ROADS
- TOWN COUNCIL OFFICES/CHAMBER Available for hire: meetings, conferences etc.
- TWINNING WITH PONTOISE AND RHEINBACH
- YOUTH COUNCIL sevenoaksyouthcouncil.com COMMUNITY EVENTS
- CHRISTMAS ILLUMINATIONS IN TOWN
- CIVIC FUNCTIONS
- VINE BANDSTAND/EREE SUMMER CONCERTS

		Councillors 3-2027									
NAME	PARTY	NAME	PARTY								
EAS	TERN WARD	ST JO	INS WARD								
Clir L Ancrum	Liberal Democrat	Clir S Camp	Liberal Democrat								
Clir A S Clayton	Liberal Democrat	Cllr P Dixon	Liberal Democrat								
Cllr S Layne	Liberal Democrat	Cllr D Skinner OBE	Liberal Democrat								
KIPPI	NGTON WARD	TOW	IN WARD								
Clir C Daniell	Liberal Democrat	Cllr V Granville	Liberal Democrat								
Clir C Gustard	Liberal Democrat	Cllr L Michaelides	Liberal Democrat								
Clir N Varley	Liberal Democrat	Cllr G Willis	Liberal Democrat								
NORT	HERN WARD	WILDER	NESSE WARD								
Clir Dr M Canet	Liberal Democrat	Clir N Wightman	Liberal Democrat								
Clir L O'Hara	Liberal Democrat		Councillor, please use the following								
Cllr C Shea	Liberal Democrat	eg. to contact Councillor Ancrum, the email address would be Clir.Ancrum@sevenoakstown.gov.uk									

Town Clerk/Chief Executive: Linda Larter MBE: townclerk@sevenoakstown.gov.uk

Deputy Town Clerk: Ann White: dtc@sevenoakstown.gov.uk

The Town Council or its Committees meet on most Monday evenings at 7pm and members of the public are welcome to attend. For further details of the meetings please contact the Town Council Offices on 01732 459953.

council@sevenoakstown.gov.uk



勘

Table showing road removal requests from respondents who voted YES to the proposed scheme (Q2)

1 1	le snowing	road re	emoval requests from respon	aents	who votec	1 1 ES 10	the pro	posed s	cheme (Q^{2}												
1 1																	Only					
no. no. <td></td> <td>operate</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>																	operate					
Image: Section of the sector of the																	20mph					
matrix matrix <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>																						
No. No. <td></td>																						
Image: Problem in the structure into and reading str																						
Lase No. And Main M					Main roada /	Llich																
No. No. Operatorization introgenessing in the interval						1																
Desc Qi Qi Qi Main like methadism Main				1		1						1										
Image: Proper Properaproper Properaproper Proper Proper Proper Proper Proper Proper								1				1										
eta by eta by eta barbar barbarbar barbarbar barbar barbar barbar barbar barbar barbar bar	Q1	Q2	would like to see left out?	roads	roads)	centre		Hill	Road	Road	Rd	Road	Road	Lane	Road	The Drive	times	A225	A25	road	Other	SDTown
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$							Dartford															
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	68 Yes	Yes	Dartfod road,				Road															Yes
1000 %5 Yes No. Under Rode. If this war 2000 Å is designed for the control of the			Dartford, St Johns Hill, Tubs Hill to				Dartford	St John's														
1000 %5 Yes No. Under Rode. If this war 2000 Å is designed for the control of the	69 Yes	Yes	Eardley Rd.				Road	Hill														Yes
Image: selected in class in the inclusion of a latter would be latter. Image: selected in class in the inclusion of a latter would be latter. Image: selected inclusion of a latter would be latter would be latter. Image: selected inclusion of a latter would be latter. Image: selected inclusion of a latter would be latter. Image: selected inclusion of a latter would be latter. Image: selected inclusion of a latter would be latter. Image: selected inclusion of a																						
100 Ves Ves migrate to the latter. Image to the latter. <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>London</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>													London									
Int Per Parture Ravie, St John's Road, Parture Ra		Ves																				Yes
145 vs vs Dartor divors, sources, conservices, consentententer, conservices, conservice	100 103	105					Dartford		St John's				nouu								+	100
Image: Problem	145 1400	Vaa	Dortford Dood, St. John's Dood																			Vaa
1 P1 St Ves Ves Under model and robubing road No Road No Road No A225 Low Low A225 Low Low <thlow< th=""> Low Low</thlow<>	145 res	res						Ct John'o	Roau				Landar		Trucksdates						+	Yes
165 Yes Az2ST 10HNS HILLDARTYOR 0R0D 0.04Troit 81.04Troit 91.04Troit 91.0								1														
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	165 Yes	Yes	London road and Tonbridge road										Road		Road			A225				Yes
Presibility He 220 Dartford Road from Argument to Finded the arayower section (bas the narrower argument to Finded the narrower section close to the Sevenaks Presibility He 220 Dartford Boad Presibility He 220																						
1 Avenue Pood to the 6d and Ball wide and clear although 1can sea any section close to the Sevenoals 2 2 1 <	166 Yes	Yes	A225/ST JOHNS HILL/DARTFORD ROAD				Road	Hill										A225				Yes
1212 Yes Yes Hoopfall Hand Partford			Possibly the A225 Dartford Road from																			
121 Ves Ves Ves Mode and brought can see an argument to include the marower section close to the Sevenoaks Solidon ¹ No			Avenue Road to the Bat and Ball																			
11 Weich and dear athought Loar see an argument to include the narrower section close to the Sevenoaks 11 12			junction (with the A25). This is mostly																			
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$																						
212 Yes Yes Hospital. Darford Red																						
212 Yes HespitaL HespitaL Road Road Image: Road <thimage: road<="" th=""> <thimage: road<="" th=""> <thi< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Dartford</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></thi<></thimage:></thimage:>							Dartford															
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	010 //	Vee																1005				No
261 Yes Yes Stahn's Hill Image: Constraint of the sectionally wide road); Image: Constraint of the sectional sectinal sectinal sectional sectinal sectional sectinal sec	212 Yes	res	ноѕрна.				коай	Ch la ha?a										AZZO				No
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$																						
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	261 Yes	Yes	St John's Hill					Hill													<u> </u>	No
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$										1												
31 Yes Yes ST JOHNS ROAD Image: Constraint of the prive set of the p										Hollow												
313YesYesSI JOHNS ROADIIIRoadIII <t< td=""><td>270 Yes</td><td>Yes</td><td>Seal Hollow Road</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Road</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Yes</td></t<>	270 Yes	Yes	Seal Hollow Road							Road												Yes
338 No Yes St Botolph's Rd Image: St Botolph's Rd <thimage: botolph's="" rd<="" st="" th=""> Image: St Botolph's Rd</thimage:>									St John's													
338 No Yes St Botolph's Rd Image: St Botolph's Rd <thimage: botolph's="" rd<="" st="" th=""> Image: St Botolph's Rd</thimage:>	313 Yes	Yes	ST JOHNS ROAD						Road													Yes
338 No Yes St Botolph's Rd Image: St Botolph's Rd											St											
338 No Yes St Botoph's Rd Image: Constraint of the constraint of																						
409 Yes Yes A25 A25 A25 A25 A25 442 Yes Yes Seal Road (A25) Image: Application of the particular integration of the partin of the particular integration of the partic	338 No	Ves	St Botolph's Rd																			No
442 Yes Seal Road (A25) Image: Constraint of the constraint of											nu								125		+	Yes
442 Yes Seal Road (A25) Image: Constraint of the constraint of	409 res	res	A25																			165
454 Yes St John's hill <																						
454 Yes St John's hill Image: Constraint of the problem of the pr	442 Yes	Yes	Seal Road (A25)																A25		<u> </u>	Yes
494 Yes Yes Mount Harry Mount Harry <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td>								1														
494 Yes Yes Mount Harry Mount Harry <td>454 Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>St John's hill</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Hill</td> <td></td> <td> </td> <td></td> <td>Yes</td>	454 Yes	Yes	St John's hill					Hill														Yes
494 Yes Mount Harry Image: Constraint of the price of the p												Mount		1								
St Botolphs (exceptionally wide road); Yes St Botolphs (exceptionally wide road); The Drive, Hitchen Hatch St Botolph's St Botolph's St Botolph's St Botolph's Botolph's Botolph's Rd Hitchen Hitchen Fee Drive <												Harry		1								
St Botolphs (exceptionally wide road); Yes St Botolphs (exceptionally wide road); The Drive, Hitchen Hatch St Botolph's St Botolph's St Botolph's St Botolph's Botolph's Botolph's Rd Hitchen Hitchen Fee Drive <	494 Yes	Yes	Mount Harry									Road										Yes
St Botolphs (exceptionally wide road); Botolph's Botolph's Hatch Hatch The Drive St Botolph's St									1	1	St	1		Hitchen				1	1	İ	1	1
505 Yes The Drive, Hitchen Hatch Image: Constraint of the Drive The Drive Image: Constraint of the Drive 1 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>St Botolphs (exceptionally wide road):</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>			St Botolphs (exceptionally wide road):																			
St John's London	505 Yes	Yes														The Drive						No
	000 103	103						St John's					London	Lano							+	
	524 Voc	Vac												1								No
	534 Yes	res	LUNDUN RUAD, ST. JUHNS HILL					miii					коаа						+		+	No
														1								
														1								
If Ambarrat hill is left suit should n't Ct			If Ambarat bill is laft out abouldn't St																		If Ambarat hill is laft out shouldn't Ct	
														1							If Amherst hill is left out shouldn't St	
														1							John's Hill be left out as well. I don't	
														1							understand why one main road to	
			sevenoaks is proposed as being 20 mph					1													sevenoaks is proposed as being 20	
535 Yes but not the other? mph but not the other?	535 Yes	Yes	but not the other?					Hill						1							mph but not the other?	No

	[-					1	1	1	1	1	1							
			Dartford Road/St Johns Hill north of war				Deutfeurd	St John's											
500	Vee	Vee	memorial towards Bat & Ball traffic				Dartford	St John's											No
598	Yes	Yes	lights Downhill Granville road, tubs hill, St.				Road	Hill							 				No
			John's hill/road as this did not work in					St John's	Ct Johnio								Cronvillo		
C17	Vaa	Vaa						Hill	Road								Granville		No
617	Yes	Yes	Tonbridge Quarry hill road					St John's	Roau						 		road		No
745	Vac	Voc	St Johns Hill					Hill											Voc
745	162	Yes	St Johns Hill ST JOHNS ROAD BETWEEN THE DRIVE +					пш										ST JOHNS ROAD BETWEEN THE	Yes
			WICKENDEN ROAD, ST BOTOLPHS						St John's									DRIVE + WICKENDEN ROAD, ST	
794	Vac	Vac	ROAD.						Road									BOTOLPHS ROAD.	Voc
794	162	Yes	Most of Dartford Road/St Johns Hill from				-		nuau									BOTOLPHS ROAD:	Yes
			north of the Vine to the start of shops at																
			St Johns Mount Harry Road St Botolphs				Dartford	St John's											
796	Ves	Yes	Road				Road	Hill											No
700	105	105					nouu	St John's											110
809	Yes	Yes	St John's Hill					Hill											Yes
000	100	100					Dartford	St John's											
812	Yes	Yes	Dartford road St John's Hill				Road	Hill											Yes
012							Dartford	St John's							 				
854	Yes	Yes	St. Johns Hill & Dartford Road				Road	Hill											Yes
504								St John's											
908	Yes	Yes	St. John's Hill					Hill											Yes
						High													
						Street /													
						Town													
918	Yes	Yes	Sevenoaks High Street			centre													Yes
											St	Mount							
											Botolph's	Harry							
926	Yes	Yes	St Botolphs and Mount Harry								Rd	Road							No
			Main roads like the A25 - speed limit																
			was reduced to 30 mph for a while along																
			Bradbourne Vale Road. Proved to be of																
			limited use and everyone continued to		ain roads /														
			drive at 40 mph. Wide road, clear vision,		ajor routes														
			so roads like this should continue to be		All A & B														
936		Yes	allowed 40 mph li	roa	oads)											A25			Yes
967	Yes	Yes	A25 keep the 30 MPH limit													A25			Yes
							Dartford												
978	Yes	Yes	Dartford Road				Road												Yes
			London Road, Tubbs Hill, Dartford Road,							Seal									
			St John's Hill, Seal Hollow Road,				Dartford	St John's		Hollow			London	Tonbridge					
1010	Yes	Yes	Tonbridge Road				Road	Hill		Road			Road	 Road	 				Yes
													London						
1011	res	Yes	London road								C+		Road						Yes
											St Rotolph's								
1031	Voc	Voc	St Botolph's								Botolph's Rd								Vec
1031	100	Yes									St								Yes
											Botolph's								
1032	Yes	Yes	St Botolph's								Rd								Yes
1002		100					1		1		St		1					<u> </u>	
											Botolph's								
1034	Yes	Yes	St Botolph's								Rd								Yes
1004		100					Dartford		1				1					<u> </u>	
	1	Yes	Dartford Road				Road												Yes
1109	Yes	Tes						1	1	1	1								1.20
1109	Yes	Tes								Seal									
1109	Yes	165								Seal Hollow									

		T	1	1	1	1	1 1									1	1	1	1	T	
															'						
		All the main arteries through Sevenoaks		Main roads /											'						
		ie Seal Hollow Road, Dartford Road,		Major routes	1				Seal					· · · · ·	'						
		London Road, Tonbridge Road and the			Town	Dartford			Hollow			London		Tonbridge	'						
1157 Yes	Yes	High Street		roads)	centre	Road		F	Road			Road		Road	<u> </u>						Yes
		A225 North of the Vine cricket ground													'						
1176 Yes	Yes	shouldn't be included															A225				Yes
			All of											Ţ,	· · · · ·						
			them / All											· · · · ·	'						
1179 No	Yes	All	roads											· · · · ·	'						No
								S	Seal					+ +							
									Hollow					· · · · ·	'						
1297 Yes	Yes	Seal hollow road past knole golf club							Road						'						Yes
1322 Yes	Yes	The A225							louu		<u> </u>	+	+	++	├ ───┦		A225				Yes
1522 165	163	I'm not sure it is necessary for St John's					St John's					+	+		┝───┘		R22J				103
1400 1/00	Vee						1 1							· · · · ·	'						Vee
1422 Yes	Yes	Hill					Hill				───	<u> </u>	───	+/	───′			1.05			Yes
1730 Yes	Yes	A25										<u> </u>	<u> </u>	'	└─── ′			A25			No
														· · · · ·	'						
		St Botolphs Road + Mount Harry Road +									1				1 1						
		Dartford Road/St Johns Hill (north of									1				1 1						
		Vine Court Road junction). Additional									1			1	1						
		comment: The aesthetic impact of new									1				1 1						
		signage is also a factor. Less signage								St	Mount				'						
		would be better than more. New signage				Dartford	St John's			Botolph's	Harry			· · · · ·	'						
1780 Yes	Yes	also needs to be placed sy				Road	Hill			Rd	Road			· · · · ·	'						Yes
											<u> </u>	+	+	++	<i> </i>	Only					+
														· · · · ·		operate					
														· · · · ·		20mph					
														· · · · ·		during					
														· · · · ·		-					
														· · · · ·		certain					
		Can you not just have the speed limits														hours/ i.e					
		enforce Monday to Friday 0700 - 0900												· · · · ·		near					
		and 1500 - 1600? That way we can												· · · · ·	'	schools at					
		ensure children's safety but also keep													'	certain					
1807 Yes	Yes	traffic moving.												· · · · ·	'	times					Yes
						Dartford								,	,						
1855 Yes	Yes	Dartford Road				Road									'						Yes
						Dartford								+,	 						-
1882 Yes	Yes	Dartford Road				Road								· · · · ·	'						No
1002 100	100					liouu						+	+	++	<u>├</u> ───┦						
		St johns Hill it is not necessary until you									1			1	1 1					St johns Hill it is not necessary until	
		approach the vine. We need a crossing					St John's				1			1	1 1					you approach the vine. We need a	
1007.1/	Mar						Hill				1				1 1						Vaa
1937 Yes	Yes	on the north end of the Vine				Denter	HILL				───		───	───┘	├ ────'					crossing on the north end of the Vine	res
						Dartford					1	London			1 1						
1959 Yes	Yes	Dartford Road, London Road		ļ		Road						Road	_	!	 '		ļ	ļ	ļ		No
						Dartford					1			1	1 1						
2119 Yes	Yes	Dartford Road				Road								<u> </u>	<u> </u>						Yes
							Ι Τ				Mount			Т Т	1 7						
		St Botolphs Road, Mount Harry Road, St					St John's			Botolph's	Harry			1	1 1						
2125 Yes	Yes	John's Hill					Hill			Rd	Road				1 1						Yes
			1								Mount	1	Hitchen	+	ļ		İ	İ	İ		1
		Mount Harry Road and Hitchen Hatch									Harry		Hatch	/	1 '						
2311 Yes	Yes	Lane									Road		Lane	1	1 1						Yes
2011 100	100											London	+	+	<u>├</u> ───┦			1			+
2323 Yes	Yes	London Road									1	Road		1	1						No
2020 185	105						┼──┤				├───	London	+	Tonbridge	├ ───┘						
1 1						1	1 1	1						I UNIDINGE	· · ·				1		
2369 Yes	Yes	London road, Tonbridge Road										Road		Road	1						Yes

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					1		1	1	1	1	1		1				1		1			
																	Only					
																	operate					
																	20mph					
																	during					
																	certain					
																	hours/ i.e					
																	near					
			It would be better if O0mmb emplied																			
			It would be better if 20mph applied														schools at					
			0700 to 1900 hours and 30mph 1900 to														certain					
2397 Yes			0700														times					Yes
2410 Yes	s Ye	S	A225 Bat and Ball lights to the Vine															A225				Yes
								St John's														
2490 Yes	s Ye	s	St Johns Hill					Hill														No
											St	Mount										
			LONDON RD, MOUNY HARRY RD, THE								Botolph's	Harry	London									
2497 Yes	s Ye	s	DRIVE, ST BOTOLPHS RD								Rd	Road	Road			The Drive						No
			St Botolphs Road + Mount Harry Road +																			
			Dartford Road/St Johns Hill (north of																			
			Vine Court Road junction). Additional																			
			comment: The aesthetic impact of new																			
			signage is also a factor. Less signage								St	Mount										
							Dartford	St John's				1										
050714			would be better than more. New signage								Botolph's											N.
2537 Yes	s Ye	S	also needs to be placed sy		-		Road	Hill			Rd	Road										Yes
																	Only					
																	operate					
																	20mph					
																	during					
																	certain					
																	hours/ i.e					
					Main roads /	High											near					
				All of	Major routes					Seal	St	Mount		Hitchen			schools at					
					I (All A & B	Town	Dartford	St John's	St John's	1		Harry			Tonbridge		certain			Granville		
		-		roads		centre	Road	Hill	Road	Road	Rd	Road			Road	The Drive	times	A225		road		
			Count:	1	1 2		2 2				5 12		10	1	1	2				1		
			oount.	1	-l 2	1 4	-1 -2	<u> </u>	·I ·	ין י	1 12		1 10	2	-1 -4	2	- 1 - ²		<u> </u>	L 1	l	